



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/988,650	11/20/2001	Moo-Jong Lim	8733.536.00-US 8857 (PATENT)		
30827 7	590 04/10/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW			TRAN, HENRY N		
	N, DC 20006		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	·		2629		
			DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/988,650	LIM ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Henry N. Tran	2629	

	Henry N. Tran	2029	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP		-	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, at tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	06.07(f).		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	f will mat be entaund b	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l They raise new issues that would require further contact. 			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		educina or simplifyina	the issues for
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially it	sadeing of simplifying	uie issues ioi
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jootou olao.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	* **	omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ompliant Amendment	(I TOL-024).
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		timely filed amondme	ant concoling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. Solution Transport of Americae Claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided in the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 13-16 and 18-20. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		iii be entered and an e	expianation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attacl	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. ☐ Other:		Henry N Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2629	im

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly amended limitation: "a signal processing circuit ...directly control a luminance level of each of the light sources based upon the input data from the data input driver" recited in the independent claim 1 raises new issues.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the rejections recited in the final Office action mailed 12/13/05. Applicants' arguments provided in the Remarks section, pages 6-7 of the above identified Reply have been fully considered but they are not persuasive; because they are based upon the newly amended claim limitation noted in item 3(a) above.

HT 4/7/06